UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	•	57.2		
	Rodrigo Araujo-Baldenebro	Case Number:	11-6344M	
and was repr	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § sesented by counsel. I conclude by a predant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing opposite ponderance of the evidence	was held on July 13, 2011. Defendant was presen the defendant is a flight risk and order the detentior	
		FINDINGS OF FACT		
• -	eponderance of the evidence that:			
		The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant co	ne defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant u	using numerous aliases.		
	The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum	n of	years imprisonment.	
at the time of the defendant to the United the United Services sufficient the United Services of the United	There is a serious risk that the defe No condition or combination of cond DIRECT defendant is committed to the custody of facility separate, to the extent practicab defendant shall be afforded a reasonab States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp APPEAL ORDERED that should an appeal of the y of the motion for review/reconsideration.	oted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ndant will flee. ditions will reasonably assurations will reasonably assurations. IONS REGARDING DETENT of the Attorney General or his le, from persons awaiting or a le opportunity for private con the Government, the person ose of an appearance in cord. S AND THIRD PARTY RELIST detention order be filed with the person on to Pretrial Services at least to a third party is to be consistent.	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the inection with a court proceeding.	
· ·	ne poténtial third party custodian. ΓED this 14 th day of July, 2011			
	Unite	David K. Duncan ed States Magistrate Judg	ge	